

Appl. No. 09/627,139  
Amendment and/or Response  
Reply to Office action of 20 January 2004

Page 2 of 10

**Amendments to the Claims:**

A listing of the entire set of pending claims (including amendments to the claims, if any) is submitted herewith per 37 CFR 1.121. This listing of claims will replace all prior versions, and listings, of claims in the application.

**Listing of Claims:**

1. (Currently amended) An automated recommendation system, comprising:

a processor connected to receive resource data defining available resources and at least two sets of profile data, each defining user preferences with respect to ~~said~~the resources;

each of ~~said~~the sets of profile data being derived from a different class of interaction of ~~said~~the user with a first portion of ~~said~~the resource data and usable to predict a given resource's desirability based on ~~said~~ each of ~~said~~the sets;

~~said~~the processor being adapted to:

generate at least two sets of predictions based on one or a combination of the sets of profile data, and

combine the predictions by weight-averaging corresponding ones from each of the at least two sets of predictions~~a weighted sum of corresponding records from each of said sets to generate a single combined set of profile data.~~

2. (Currently amended) A system as in claim 1, wherein

~~said~~the processor is further adapted to:

generate a weighted sum of corresponding records from each of the sets of profile data to generate a single combined set of profile data

generate ~~at least one of the sets of~~ predictions from ~~said~~the single combined set.

3. (Currently amended) A system as in claim 2, wherein

~~said~~the processor is connected to control a delivery of resources corresponding to ~~said~~the resource data and responsively to ~~said~~the predictions.

Appl. No. 09/627,139  
Amendment and/or Response  
Reply to Office action of 20 January 2004

Page 3 of 10

- B1  
Cmt
4. (Currently amended) A system as in claim 1, wherein  
said the processor is connected to control a delivery of resources corresponding to  
said the resource data and responsively to said the predictions.
5. (Currently amended) A system as in claim 1, wherein  
said the at least two profile data sets include  
a feedback data set derived from ratings provided by said the user with respect  
to a particular resource in said the resource data.
6. (Currently amended) A system as in claim 1, wherein  
said the at least two profile data sets include  
an implicit data set derived from machine-observation of a user's resource use  
history, whereby said the implicit data reflects said the user's selections of resources to use.
7. (Currently amended) A system as in claim 1, wherein  
at least one set of the at least two profile data sets comprises input vectors, and  
said the input vectors each include feature-value pairs.
8. (Currently amended) A system as in claim 1, wherein  
at least one set of the at least two profile data sets comprises input vectors, and  
said the input vectors include feature-value pairs and a rating value.

Appl. No. 09/627,139  
Amendment and/or Response  
Reply to Office action of 20 January 2004

Page 4 of 10

9. (Currently amended) A method of recommending resources, comprising ~~the steps of~~:  
generating at least two sets of profile data based on expressed preferences of a user with respect to ~~said~~the resources each being usable to predict a given resource's desirability based on ~~said~~ each of ~~said~~the sets;  
generating at least two sets of predictions based on one or a combination of the sets of profile data; and  
combining the predictions by weight-averaging corresponding ones from each of the at least two sets of predictions~~a weighted sum of corresponding records from each of said sets to generate a single combined set of profile data.~~
10. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 9, further comprising: ~~the step of~~  
generating a weighted sum of corresponding records from each of the sets of profile data to generate a single combined set of profile data; and  
generating at least one of the sets of predictions from said~~the~~ single combined set.
11. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 10, further comprising ~~the step of~~  
controlling a delivery of resources corresponding to ~~said~~the resource data responsively to ~~said~~the predictions.
12. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 9, further comprising ~~the step of~~  
controlling a delivery of resources corresponding to ~~said~~the resource data responsively to ~~said~~the predictions.
13. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 9, wherein  
~~said step of generating the at least two sets of profile data~~ includes  
generating a feedback data set by accepting ratings from a user with respect to a particular resource in ~~said~~the resource data.

Appl. No. 09/627,139  
Amendment and/or Response  
Reply to Office action of 20 January 2004

Page 5 of 10

14. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 9, wherein  
~~said step of generating the at least two sets of profile data~~ includes  
generating an implicit data set by observing a user's resource use history,  
whereby ~~said the~~ implicit data reflects ~~said the~~ user's selections of resources to use.
15. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 9, wherein  
at least one set of the at least two sets of profile data sets comprises input vectors, and  
~~said the~~ input vectors each include feature-value pairs.
16. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 9, wherein  
at least one set of the at least two sets of profile data comprises input vectors, and  
~~said step of generating the at least two sets of profile data~~ includes generating feature-  
value pairs and a rating value.
17. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 9, wherein:  
~~said the~~ sets of profile data includes  
a set of explicit profile data indicating express indications by a user of  
preferred classes of programming rather than indications by ~~said the~~ user of particular  
resources that are preferred;  
~~said the~~ sets of profile data further include  
feedback data set derived from ratings provided by ~~said the~~ user with respect to  
a particular resource in ~~said the~~ resource data; and  
~~said the~~ sets of profile data further include  
an implicit data set derived from machine-observation of a user's resource use  
history, whereby ~~said the~~ implicit data reflects ~~said the~~ user's selection.

Appl. No. 09/627,139  
Amendment and/or Response  
Reply to Office action of 20 January 2004

Page 6 of 10

18. (Currently amended) An automated recommendation system, comprising:  
a processor connected to receive resource data defining available resources and sets of profile data, each defining user preferences with respect to ~~said~~the resources;  
~~said~~the sets of profile data including  
a set of explicit profile data indicating express indications by a user of preferred classes of programming rather than indications by ~~said~~the user of particular resources that are preferred;  
~~said~~the sets of profile data further including  
feedback data set derived from ratings provided by ~~said~~the user with respect to a particular resource in ~~said~~the resource data; and  
~~said~~the sets of profile data further including  
an implicit data set derived from machine-observation of a user's resource use history, whereby ~~said~~the implicit data reflects ~~said~~the user's selection;  
~~said~~the processor being adapted to generate at least two sets of predictions based on one or a combination of ~~said~~the sets of profile data, each of ~~said~~the predictions including a confidence level;  
~~said~~the processor being further adapted to combine ~~said~~the predictions by weight-averaging corresponding ones from each of ~~said~~the at least two sets .

19. (Currently amended) A system as in claim 18, wherein  
~~said~~the processor is further adapted to adjust weights of ~~said~~the weight averaging responsively to a difference between ~~said~~the corresponding ones.

20. (Currently amended) A system as in claim 18, wherein  
~~said~~the processor is further adapted to selectively override ~~said~~the weight averaging responsively to a difference between ~~said~~the corresponding ones.

Appl. No. 09/627,139  
Amendment and/or Response  
Reply to Office action of 20 January 2004

Page 7 of 10

21. (Currently amended) A method of automatically recommending resources, comprising the steps of:

receiving resource data defining available resources and sets of profile data, each defining user preferences with respect to ~~said~~the resources;

~~said~~the sets of profile data including

a set of explicit profile data indicating express indications by a user of preferred classes of programming rather than indications by ~~said~~the user of particular resources that are preferred;

~~said~~the sets of profile data further including

feedback data set derived from ratings provided by ~~said~~the user with respect to a particular resource in ~~said~~the resource data; and

~~said~~the sets of profile data further including

an implicit data set derived from machine-observation of a user's resource use history, whereby ~~said~~the implicit data reflects ~~said~~the user's selection;

generating at least two sets of predictions based on one or a combination of ~~said~~the sets of profile data, each of ~~said~~the predictions including a confidence level;

combining ~~said~~the predictions by weight-averaging corresponding ones from each of ~~said~~the at least two sets to produce a combined set.

22. (Currently amended) A method in claim 21, wherein

~~said step of combining the predictions~~ includes

adjusting weights of ~~said~~the weight averaging responsively to a difference between ~~said~~the corresponding ones.

23. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 21, wherein

~~said step of combining the predictions~~ includes

selectively overriding ~~said~~the weight averaging responsively to a difference between ~~said~~the corresponding ones such that a prediction of a one of ~~said~~the sets of predictions is included in ~~said~~the combined set and a prediction of the other of ~~said~~the sets of predictions is excluded.

Appl. No. 09/627,139  
Amendment and/or Response  
Reply to Office action of 20 January 2004

Page 8 of 10

24. (Currently amended) A method of combining profile data, comprising ~~the steps of:~~  
generating first profile data by receiving through a user interface user preferences in  
the form of expressed generalized preferences corresponding classes of resources;  
generating second profile data by receiving user preferences in the form of rating data  
corresponding to specific resources;  
~~combining said first and second profile data to produce predictions by one of:~~  
applying ~~said~~the first and second profile data to respective prediction engines and  
combining respective results thereof; ~~and directly combining said first and second profile data~~  
~~to a prediction engine.~~

25. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 24, further including:  
combining the first and second profile data,  
wherein ~~said step of directly~~  
combining the first and second profiles includes weight averaging corresponding ones  
of ~~said~~the profile data.

26. (Currently amended) A method as in claim 24, wherein  
~~said step of combining~~ respective results includes selectively weight averaging  
corresponding ones of ~~said~~the predictions.

27-29 (Canceled).